BlackRock is going green, sort of, and what this means for sustainable investment

BlackRock’s founder, chairman and chief executive, Larry Fink, told the Financial Times in October that “sustainable investing”, incorporating environmental, social and governance criteria, would be a core component of “how everyone invests in the future.”

“We are only at the early stages,” he said, estimating that the investment in ETFs (exchange traded funds) with a sustainability focus would rise from a current US$25 billion to over US$400 billion within a decade.

The numbers look big, but the money in sustainability is only a fraction of the global investment universe.

The US$25 billion worth of sustainable ETFs is miniscule against the US$5 trillion in all ETFs. And the US$7 billion that BlackRock manages in sustainable ETFs, whilst about
25 per cent of the current market, is a fraction of the $US1.75 trillion in all BlackRock’s ETFs.

(An ETF is a collection of assets, like a managed fund but, unlike a managed fund, it trades on an exchange like a share. As simple, passive index-tracking investments, with low fees, ETFs have been a key driver of BlackRock’s recent phenomenal growth.)

There are challenges to BlackRock’s claims

At the same time as BlackRock has been ramping up its sustainability offerings, the group’s own ESG (environment, social and governance) credentials have been challenged in an online campaign entitled BlackRock’s Big Problem and headlined by the accusation that BlackRock is the “biggest driver of climate destruction on the planet.”

The campaign calls on BlackRock to sell out from fossil fuel companies that will not change their ways; to create fossil fuel and deforestation free funds; to push companies to align with the Paris climate agreement; and to pressure those companies in which BlackRock has an investment but which are dragging behind on sustainability.

BlackRock answers the challenge in three ways.

In many of its funds, particularly the ETFs, BlackRock’s role is that of a fiduciary. If the stocks are in the index, they have to be in the fund. BlackRock has no option to sell, no matter how repugnant the individual stock might be environmentally.

Secondly, even when BlackRock cannot sell out, it does not remain a passive manager. It takes very seriously its engagement with the company, including the voting of the shares it controls. Fink calls it “stewardship.” One of the five stewardship priorities for 2018 is climate change disclosure.

“If engagement is to be meaningful and productive – if we collectively are going to focus on benefitting shareholders instead of wasting time and money in proxy fights – then engagement needs to be a year-round conversation about improving long-term value,” he wrote in one of his famous letters to CEOs.

And thirdly, BlackRock is building its own sustainability offerings, and its ability to assess them.

BlackRock is building its own ETFs and broad sustainable portfolios

In October BlackRock launched a number of ETFs to help investors build “broad, diversified sustainable portfolios”.
“There is increased demand for sustainable investing but it’s a confused marketplace for investors,” said BlackRock’s senior managing director, global head of iShares and Index Investments, Mark Wiedman. “We are making it simple and easy for the client to build a sustainable and coherent investment portfolio.”

**ESG and carbon intensity measures will feature in new funds**

BlackRock also released ESG analytics and carbon intensity measures for the new funds. By early next year those metrics are expected be applied to all BlackRock’s iShares ETFs.

Blackrock followed those announcements in November with the release of an iShares Global Green Bond ETF to give investors “measurable environmental impact.”

The group also manages about $US50 billion in sustainable strategies and another $US450 billion in funds where investors have chosen to exclude products like tobacco or weapons.

Australian institutions can invest in BlackRock’s ESG screened global equities index fund and a screened emerging market equities index fun. Some Australian institutions also buy into BlackRocks ETFs overseas.

Fink says sustainable investing does not involve a trade off between a higher ethical or sustainable outcome and a lesser financial result.

**Demand for sustainability is growing stronger and stronger – Larry Fink**

“We are going to see evidence over the long term that sustainable investing is going to be at least equivalent to core investments. I believe personally it will be higher,” he told the *FT*. 
“When I go to the Nordics, the Netherlands and France, it is almost a requirement to look at all forms of investment with sustainability in mind. Demand is getting greater and greater.”

Blackrock defines sustainable investments as those with a positive social or environmental impact as well as good financial results.

In some cases that involves screening out companies in sectors like fossil fuels or tobacco. In other cases, the investments are in low carbon use or renewable energy.

A director of BlackRock’s sustainable investing team, Jessica Huang, says the way companies are changing their emissions, and the rate of change, is just as important as the absolute level of emissions. “It’s not just about good companies or bad companies,” she says, speaking by video link from San Francisco last week. “Companies may screen poorly on some metrics but better on others. And those poorer ratings can be addressed through the stewardship process.

“Carbon emissions are a proxy for efficiency. Companies are doing more with less. “These are the insights we are trying to build.”

One of the priorities of BlackRock’s stewardship program is ESG disclosure. “We want to see climate competent boards and we want to see diverse boards,” Huang says.

“As part of our stewardship practice, we want to make companies understand the risks.”

Huang says much of the work underway involves measurement, particularly the publicly available measures, which are the ones with which BlackRock has to work.

The company’s co-founder and vice chairman, Barbara Novick told the World Economic Forum in September that the quality of information on sustainability was “uneven” and “a barrier for further progress in sustainable finance.”

“The current alphabet soup of competing standards and initiatives can be confusing,” she said.

Ms Huang and her team are working to create sustainability metrics with MSCI, the global provider of equity, fixed income and other stock market and asset portfolio tools.
The group also works with Sustainalytics, an Amsterdam-based global provider of ESG and corporate governance research and ratings to investors, and with ISS-Ethix, which is subsidiary of global proxy advisory firm, Institutional Shareholder Services and provides sustainable metrics.

And the group stays ahead of issues with RepRisk, a Zurich based company that trawls the web to find environmental and social issues that could become financial or reputational risks to a company or investment portfolio.

So can BlackRock pick the sustainability successes of the next decade?

“We see the macro trends; regulation, climate change, demographic shifts, technological advancement. But it is difficult for us to say which companies will not be around in 10 years time,” Ms Huang says.

“Companies can pivot. We are trying to identify the companies that have that ability.”